

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 27.6.2009	Document: Proposals by JSR/FI for ISO/IEC FCD3 19763-2 for Finnish Vote after 30 June 2009
-----------------	---

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
FI	Introduction	Page vii	ge	Introduction should be written for a person that has no knowledge of (information) systems interoperability.	JSR 1 Give the introduction to be read for a person outside of standardising business. Does she/he understand the introduction?	
FI	Introduction	Page viii	ge	Why this standard is important ? This is very unclear in the introduction.	JSR 2 Answer these questions more clearly in the introduction: What we are standardising here? Why we are standardising this? Who is standardising? What are the benefits of this standard? What problem this standard solves? How we solve this problem? Who are the end user of this standard? How this standard will make life easier for different stakeholders? How this standard change the business? Will this standard change the way how interoperable systems are created? (outside) Will this standard change the the internal working of interoperable systems? (inside) What competitive advantage (for business) this standard will provide? Now this is very unclear and unambiguous. The introduction should give clear meaning and purpose for a general technical person. Why I would read this standard through?	
FI	2.1. (page 9)		te	Clause "satisfy the requirements of 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, Annex A, and B"	JSR 3 Should be decided if Annex A and B are	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. FR for France; comments from the CMC editing unit are identified by ***)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 27.6.2009	Document: Proposals by JSR/FI for ISO/IEC FCD3 19763-2 for Finnish Vote after 30 June 2009
-----------------	---

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					<p>mandatory or informative.</p> <p>If mandatory, that clause is OK.</p> <p>If informative, that clause is contradictory, since Annex A and B are marked "informative"</p>	
FI	5.4.2 (page 44)	Figure 7	ed	Should figure 7 be presented earlier or repeated earlier?	<p>JSR 4</p> <p>Could figure 7 be repeated in the introduction in order to explain the standard for a non-technical person?</p>	
FI	5.1.1.2 and 5.4.2.	Figure 1 and Figure 7	te	<p>Figures 1 and 7 are missing one alternative.</p> <p>In the level M0 there can be data in a database.</p> <p>In the level M1 there can be the database structure.</p> <p>In the level M2 there can be the database modelling language.</p> <p>In the level M3 there can meta-modelling language.</p> <p>In reality many prominent systems are based on relation database(s), not objects or object database, or XML instances.</p>	<p>JSR 5</p> <p>Consider adding database reality in figures 1 and 7.</p> <p>In reality majority of the interoperable systems will be database systems.</p>	
FI	2.3. (page 10)	Table 2	te	<p>XMI has several versions.</p> <p>Which version of XMI we are referring?</p>	<p>JSR 6</p> <p>Will different versions of XMI alter conformance of this standard?</p> <p>If so, version information of XMI should be noted.</p>	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. FR for France; comments from the CMC editing unit are identified by ***)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 27.6.2009

Document: **Proposals by JSR/FI for
ISO/IEC FCD3 19763-2
for Finnish Vote after 30 June 2009**

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
FI	5.1.1.2 (page 28)		ge	UML is a bloat.	JSR 7 It is unfortunate that a bloat like UML is an industry standard, which makes reading this standard very complicated.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. FR for France; comments from the CMC editing unit are identified by ***)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.