Jukka S. Rannila OPINION 1 (5) COMP/C-3/39.692/IBM

www.jukkarannila.fi

28 September 2011 Public / World wide web

1			
2	Reference: COMP/C-3/39.692/IBM – Maintenance services		
3			
4	COMP. CDEDEE ANTENDAGE O		
5	COMP-GREFFE-ANTITRUST@ec.europa.eu		
6	European Commission		
7	Directorate-General for Competition		
8 9	Antitrust Registry 1049 Bruxelles/Brussel		
10	BELGIQUE/BELGIË		
11	BLEGIQUE/BLEGIE		
12			
13	Observations Based on Proposed Commitments (COMP/C-3/39.692/IBM)		
14	(		
15			
16	First of all, a lot of thanks to the Commission of organising this important market test.		
17			
18	This opinion represents an opinion of an individual citizen, not any legal entity.		
19			
20	This opinion does not contain:		
21	<ul> <li>any business secrets</li> </ul>		
22	<ul> <li>any trade secrets</li> </ul>		
23	<ul> <li>any confidential information.</li> </ul>		
24			
25	This opinion is public and it can be added / uploaded to the Commission web pages.		
26 27			
28	Annex 1 holds information about disclaimers and copyright.		
29	Timex I holds information about discianners and copyright.		
30			
31			
32	With Kind Regards,		
33			
34			
35	Jukka Rannila		
36	citizen of Finland		
37			
38	signed electronically		
39 40			
40	[Continues on the next page]		
42	[Continues on the next page]		

Jukka S. Rannila OPINION 2 (5) COMP/C-3/39.692/IBM

www.jukkarannila.fi

28 September 2011 Public / World wide web

4	3
1	1

**Need for EU-wide pages for EU-wide Third Party Maintainers (TPMs)** 

Proposed commitments have a proposal, that IBM will create EU-wide Third Party Maintainers (TPMs) Relationship Manager.

The proposal does not give very active role for the proposed position of EU-Wide TPM Relationship Manager.

The passive role of EU-Wide TPM Relationship Manager proposed by IBM is totally unacceptable, and the final commitments must provide more active role for EU-Wide TPM Relationship Manager.

There must be much more active role by EU-Wide TPM Relationship Manager:

- TPM Manager must keep up-to-date web pages for EU-wide Third Party Maintainers
- the proposed web page must have a clear web page address
- the proposed web page must provide clear RSS feed for interested third parties
- proposed web page must provide clear email list for interested third parties
- all interested third parties must have access to the RSS feed and email list
- during the commitment period (five years) all public information related to EU-wide
   Third Party Maintainers must be published promptly without delay
- the proposed web page must provide all relevant instructions to EU-wide Third Party Maintainers

I propose following simple web page address: www.ibm.com/etpm

For example, there is very ambiguous definition about annexes 1 and 2 of the proposed commitments. There web page address of annexes 1 and 2 must specified clearly.

The IBM web pages is a huge collection of different web pages, and ambiguous definitions of the proposed commitment are totally unacceptable.

#### The vocabulary is a total mess

The vocabulary in the proposed commitments is a total mess.

All relevant vocabulary must be just in one annex.
All relevant vocabulary must be added to the proposed web page.

Also IBM must provide more thorough explanation of the vocabulary in the proposed web page.

Copyright, licence and disclaimer: check Annex 1.

Jukka S. Rannila OPINION 3 (5) COMP/C-3/39.692/IBM

www.jukkarannila.fi

28 September 2011 Public / World wide web

#### The proposed report format is not specified clearly

IBM proposes, that IBM will provide a report on the implementation of the proposed commitments each year during the term of proposed commitments.

The format for these reports (provided in December) is not specified clearly, and there is too much speculation about the format of these reports.

# IBM must provide more clearer format for these proposed reports (provided in December).

The proposed free-form format for these reports is totally unacceptable and IBM must be more clearer when dealing with the Commission and the general public.

#### IBM suggest a very passive role for EU-wide Third Party Maintainers (TPM)

The proposed commitments suggest a very passive role for EU-wide Third Party Maintainers (TPMs). Since EU-wide Third Party Maintainers (TPMs) might have a lot of relevant questions, there must public answers to the relevant questions. Therefore the proposed web page must have following features.

- All questions by EU-wide Third Party Maintainers (TPMs) must be published in the proposed web page.
- IBM will provide public answer to all questions by EU-wide Third Party Maintainers (TPMs).
- All questions and answers must be informed in the proposed RSS feed and email list.

IBM is proposing a very passive role for EU-wide Third Party Maintainers (TPMs), and this is totally unacceptable.

### EU-wide Third Party Maintainers must have a possibility to comment the yearly reports

Again, IBM proposing a very passive role for EU-wide Third Party Maintainers (TPMs), and this is totally unacceptable.

Before submitting the yearly report (in December) to the Commission, all interested third parties must have a possibility to comment the yearly report.

The possibility for commenting the yearly report must be informed in the suggested

Copyright, licence and disclaimer: check Annex 1.

Jukka S. Rannila OPINION 4 (5) COMP/C-3/39.692/IBM

## www.jukkarannila.fi

28 September 2011 Public / World wide web

131	RSS feed and email list.
132	
133	Once again, IBM is implicitly proposing, that EU-wide Third Party Maintainers are just passive
134	bystanders during the period of the proposed commitments, and this totally unacceptable.
135	
136	
137	Dispute resolution and arbitration and is thought to be a very passive process
138	
139	In the proposed commitments there a some proposal for dispute resolution process and/or
140	arbitration process. The proposed commitment implicitly provide a very passive role for the
141	Commission. Therefore I propose more active role for IBM and the Commission
142	
143	IBM must immediately inform the Commission of new dispute resolutions and/or
144	arbitrations related to the proposed commitments.
145	
146	IBM must immediately provide the Commission with all relevant information about
147	new dispute resolutions and/or arbitrations related to the proposed commitments.
148	
149	IBM implicitly suggests, that the Commission would be a passive and/or ignorant bystander related
150	to the dispute resolutions and/or arbitrations, and this totally unacceptable.
151	
152	
153	General comments
154	
155	The proposed commitments is a very sloppy presentation, and I suggest some clarifications to be
156	considered before accepting the final commitments.
157	
158	
159	[Continues on the next page]
160	

Jukka S. Rannila OPINION 5 (5) COMP/C-3/39.692/IBM

www.jukkarannila.fi

28 September 2011 Public / World wide web

161 ANNEX 1
162 DISCLAIMERS

164 <u>Legal disclaimer:</u>

All opinions in this opinion paper are personal opinions and they do not represent opinions of any legal entity I am member either by law or voluntarily. This opinion paper is only intended to trigger thinking and it is not legal advice.

This opinion paper does not apply to any past, current or future legal entity. This opinion paper will not cover any of the future changes in this fast-developing area. Any actions made based on this opinion is solely responsibility of respective actor making those actions.

Political disclaimer:

These opinions do not represent opinions of any political party. These opinions are not advices to certain policy and they are only intended to trigger thinking. Any law proposal based on these opinions are sole responsibility of that legal entity making law proposals.

These opinions are not meant to be extreme-right, moderate-right, extreme-centre <sup>1</sup>, moderate-centre, extreme-left or moderate-left. They are only opinions of an individual whose overall thinking might or might not contain elements of different sources. These opinions do not reflect past, current or future political situation in the Finnish, European or worldwide politics.

These opinions are not meant to rally for a candidacy in any public election in any level.

Content of web pages:

This text may or may not refer to web pages. The content of those web pages is not responsibility of author of this document. They are referenced on the date of this document. If referenced web pages are not found after the date when this document is dated, that situation is not responsibility of the author. All changes done in the web pages this document refers are sole responsibility of those organisations and individuals maintaining those web pages. All illegal content found on the referred web pages is not on the responsibility of the author of this document, and producing that kind content is not endorsed by the author of this document.

1 <u>Use of broken English</u>

This text is in English, but from a person, whose is not a native English-speaking person. Therefore the text may or may not contain bad, odd and broken English, and can contain awkward linguistic solutions.

**COPYRIGHT** 

This opinion paper is distributed under Creative Commons licence, to be specific the licence is "Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs-NonCommercial 1.0 Finland". The text of the licence can be obtained from the following web page:

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd-nc/1.0/fi/legalcode
The English explanation is in the following web page:
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd-nc/1.0/fi/deed.en



Based on the Finnish three-party system there is a phenomenon called extreme-centre in Finland. The 2011 parliamentary elections in Finland challenge the three-party system, since three "old" parties were not traditionally as the three largest parties. The is now a "new" party as the third largest party. We all must remain being interested about this new development in Finland.